

Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective June 17, 2001 and Commission Rule 133.305, titled Medical Dispute Resolution-General, and 133.307, titled Medical Dispute Resolution of a Medical Fee Dispute, a review was conducted by the Medical Review Division regarding a medical fee dispute between the requestor and the respondent named above.

I. DISPUTE

1. a. Whether there should be additional reimbursement for date of service 02/19/01?
b. The request was received on 02/11/02.

II. EXHIBITS

1. Requestor, Exhibit 1:
 - a. TWCC-60 and Letter Requesting Dispute Resolution dated 04/22/02
 - b. HCFA-1450s
 - c. EOBs
 - d. Reimbursement data
 - e. Medical Records
 - f. Any additional documentation submitted was considered, but has not been summarized because the documentation would not have affected the decision outcome.
2. Per Rule 133.307 (g)(3), the Division forwarded a copy of the requestor's 14-day response to the insurance carrier on 04/24/02. The response from the insurance carrier was received in the Division on 05/20/02. Based on 133.307 (i) the insurance carrier's response is untimely so the Commission shall issue a decision based on the request.
3. Notice of Medical Dispute is reflected as Exhibit #3 of the Commission's case file.

III. PARTIES' POSITIONS

1. Requestor: letter dated 05/09/02
"The date of service involved in this dispute was from February 19, 2001 for treatment regarding the above-referenced claimant's work-related injury. The Carrier denied payment with payment exception code 'F' for all items provided in the UB-92, which were Fee Codes with a 'MAR' and treatment codes without a 'MAR'."
2. Respondent: response untimely

IV. FINDINGS

1. Based on Commission Rule 133.307 (d)(1&2), the only date of service (DOS) eligible for review is 02/19/01.
2. The provider, an ambulatory surgery center, billed a total of \$5,629.46 on the DOS in dispute.

3. The carrier reimbursed \$900.00 for the DOS in dispute and the EOB has the denial codes “F” and “M.” Each billed code has the denial “F.” The entire bill was reimbursed at \$900.00 with the denial code “M.”
4. Per the TWCC-60 the amount in dispute is \$4,674.46, the difference between the billed amount and the amount reimbursed is \$4,729.46.

V. RATIONALE

The medical documentation indicates the services were performed at an ambulatory surgery center. Commission Rule 134.401 (a)(4) states ASCs, “shall be reimbursed at a fair and reasonable rate...”

Section 413.011 (d) of the Texas Labor Code states, “Guidelines for medical services must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fees charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual’s behalf. The Commission shall consider the increased security of payment afforded by this subtitle in establishing the fee guidelines.”

Commission Rule 133.304 (i)(1-4) places certain requirements on the carrier when reducing the billed amount to fair and reasonable. The carrier’s response is untimely, therefore their methodology will not be reviewed.

The provider has submitted reimbursement data. In an effort to show inconsistent application by the carrier of its methodology, the provider has submitted several EOBs, which indicate the provider has received from this carrier higher reimbursement, as a percentage of the billed amount, than was received on the date of service in dispute. These EOBs have several different ICD-9 codes, and a wide range in the amount billed. The provider has also submitted several EOBs from other carriers, these EOBs show a higher percentage of the billed amount reimbursed and have the same ICD-9 code as the date of service in dispute. The wide range in amounts billed would indicate that not all are for similar treatment. In addition, the provider has submitted a reimbursement log of other EOBs. This list shows the date of service, the amount billed, amount reimbursed, percentage of the billed amount reimbursed, and the payer of the bill. The list shows a wide range in the amount billed and in the amount of reimbursement received as a percentage. The list contains no references to the treatments/services performed and no ICD-9 codes.

Due to the fact that there is no current fee guideline for ASCs, the Medical Review Division has to determine what would be fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services provided. The carrier has not submitted a timely response. The provider has submitted EOBs from this carrier to document inconsistent application by the carrier of its methodology and EOBs from other carriers to document fair and reasonable reimbursement. Regardless of the carrier’s methodology, application of methodology, or timely response, the burden remains on the provider to show that the amount of reimbursement requested is fair and reasonable. An analysis of recent decisions of the State Office of Administrative Hearings indicate minimal weight should be given to EOBs for documenting fair and reasonable reimbursement. The willingness of some carriers to reimburse at or near the billed amount does not necessarily document that the

billed amount is fair and reasonable and does not show how effective medical cost control is achieved, a criteria identified in Sec. 413.011(d) of the Texas Labor Code. The EOBs provide no evidence of amounts paid on behalf of managed care patients of ASCs or on behalf of other non-workers' compensation patients with an equivalent standard of living. Therefore, based on the evidence available for review, the Requestor has not established entitlement to additional reimbursement.

The above Findings and Decision are hereby issued this 13th day of June, 2002.

Larry Beckham
Medical Dispute Resolution Officer
Medical Review Division

This document is signed under the authority delegated to me by Richard Reynolds, Executive Director, pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Texas Labor Code Sections 402.041 - 402.042 and re-delegated by Virginia May, Deputy Executive Director.